

Evolution in Four Dimensions

Outline by John Protevi of

Eva Jablonka and Marion Lamb, *Evolution in Four Dimensions: Genetic, Epigenetic, Behavioral, and Symbolic Variation in the History of Life* (MIT, 2005)

LSU French Studies

www.protevi.com/john

www.protevi.com/john/Morality/evolution4dimensions.pdf

protevi@lsu.edu

Permission granted to copy and distribute for academic use with proper attribution.

Chapter 1: The Transformations of Darwinism

JL = Jablonka and Lamb

Evolution = change in historical series of entities

NS = natural selection (mechanism of evolution)

ENS = evolution by natural selection

IAC = inheritance of acquired characteristics

EIHV = environmental induction of heritable variation

CM = chromosomal material

- I) Intro: controversies in science and in biology
 - A) Darwin had little to say about nature and causes of hereditary variation
 - B) JL will trace history of gene-centered versions of Darwinism
- II) Darwin's Darwinism
 - A) Laws of Evolution by Natural Selection (ENS)
 - 1) Darwin's version of ENS
 - a) Reproduction
 - b) Inheritance
 - c) Variability
 - d) Struggle for Existence
 - 2) Maynard Smith's generalization of ENS
 - a) Multiplication
 - b) Variation
 - c) Heredity
 - d) Competition
 - 3) With Maynard Smith's generalization
 - a) No need to know anything about
 - i) Processes of heredity and multiplication
 - ii) Origins of heritable variation
 - iii) The nature of the entity that evolves through NS
 - b) Thus it can in theory be applied to many systems (warning: many debates here!)
 - i) Cosmology
 - ii) Economics
 - iii) Culture
 - B) Darwin and heritable variation via "effects of life on organism and 'use and disuse'"
 - 1) Sounds like Lamarck
 - a) Inheritance of acquired characteristics (IAC)
 - b) Shown to be wrong by Darwin's theory of NS

- 2) But the usual story about Lamarck is wrong
 - a) What's wrong about it?
 - i) Lamarck was not a simpleton
 - ii) Lamarck did not invent idea about IAC
 - iii) Darwin had a role for "use and disuse" in his theory
 - iv) Darwin's theory of NS did not displace IAC
 - b) What's the real story?
 - i) Lamarck was a sophisticated thinker and didn't solely focus on IAC
 - ii) Almost all biologists believed in IAC throughout 19th C
 - iii) Darwin believed in IAC
 - iv) NS was not the reason IAC was displaced
 - C) Darwin's theory of heredity: pangenesis
 - 1) Gemmules as units of heredity and development
 - a) Tiny particles spread throughout body
 - b) In sexual beings, gemmules accumulate in reproductive organs
 - i) Gemmules join in sperm and egg before development
 - ii) Offspring are a blend of parental characters
 - iii) What is inherited is the actual character
 - iv) Some gemmules are dormant and awake in later generations
 - v) "inheritance = form of growth"
 - 2) But what accounts for variation, since with blending, you should get uniformity?
 - a) The theory: environmental change can "induce" variation
 - i) Change in nutrition / climate affects growth
 - (a) Alters proportion of gemmules in reproductive organs
 - (b) Awakens dormant gemmules
 - ii) Changed conditions change gemmules themselves
 - b) The result: Darwin's theory allows for IAC
 - D) Conclusion by JL: EIHV does NOT weaken evolution by NS
 - 1) In fact, EIHV increases
 - a) Amount of variation
 - b) Scope of NS
 - 2) Generality of theory of evolution by NS is not limited to
 - a) Any one theory of mechanism of heredity
 - b) Any one theory of causes of variation
- III) Weismann's Neo-Darwinian Theory: Acquired Characteristics Discarded
- A) Three great advances in mid-19th C biology
 - 1) Cell theory (Virchow)
 - 2) Evolution by NS (Darwin)
 - 3) Refutation of spontaneous generation (Pasteur)
 - B) Linking cell theory to heredity / development / evolution (Weismann)
 - 1) Once chromosomal material was identified as hereditary / developmental substance
 - 2) Then mitosis must be distinguished from meiosis
 - a) Mitosis: division of ordinary [eukaryotic] cells:
 - i) Doubling then division of chromosomes
 - ii) Splitting of cell into two daughter cells
 - iii) Each daughter cell
 - (a) Inherits one half of the doubled material
 - (b) That is, a full batch of chromosomal material (CM)
 - iv) But that creates problems for reproduction
 - (a) If sperm and egg are produced by mitosis
 - (b) Then each have full complement of CM

- (c) And the fertilized egg would have double amount of CM!
 - b) Meiosis: "reduction division" in production of sperm and egg
 - i) Each daughter cell (sperm or egg) receives only half CM
 - ii) So the fertilized egg will have the normal full complement of CM
 - C) Weismann rejects IAC
 - 1) No way for properties of somatic cells to be transmitted to sperm or egg cells
 - 2) Thus we have the continuity of the germ plasm
 - a) Segregation of germ plasm early in development for separate production of sperm / egg
 - b) Partial inheritance of "determinants" as answer to cell differentiation
 - i) Each embryonic cell receives a different part of nuclear material
 - ii) So the nuclear material should be getting simpler as cell differentiation proceeds
 - iii) Only the germ plasm in germ line retains full complement of determinants
 - D) Source of variation for Weismann
 - 1) Sexual reproduction involves mingling germ plasm from parents
 - a) Which means there's a long history of mingling from ancestors
 - b) Now half of CM eliminated in meiosis is not same for every sperm / egg
 - c) Thus we have rich source of variability from mingling and meiotic "reshuffling"
 - 2) But what was original source of variation?
 - a) Random accidents alter determinants
 - b) Leading to "germinal selection" via changes in nutrition / temperature etc.
 - i) Environment has heritable effects via direct action on germ plasm
 - ii) Unit of selection issue:
 - (a) Not just individual organism
 - (b) But determinants in germ plasm
 - (c) Cells w/in a tissue
 - (d) Groups
 - E) Summary: differences btw Weismann and Darwin
 - 1) Weismann gives NS an exclusive role, rejecting IAC
 - 2) Weismann had different hereditary theory (determinants vs gemmules)
 - 3) Weismann source of heritable variation via effects on determinants in germ line
 - 4) Weismann focused on sexual reproduction as producing heritable variation
- IV) Doubts about Darwinism
- A) Neo-Lamarckians:
 - 1) Progressive / goal-directed evolution
 - 2) Herbert Spencer: evolution in many developmental processes / belief in IAC
 - 3) Lacked a good theory of heredity
 - B) Gradualism vs discontinuous evolution
 - 1) Can Darwin's notion of gradual evolution through selection of small variation account for continuously varying traits or must we think in terms of saltatory "sports"?
 - 2) What about speciation? There doesn't seem to be continuity here, but gaps!
 - a) De Vries proposes "mutation" as accounting for sudden, discontinuous speciation
 - b) So many evolutionary biologists at this time didn't bother with either Lamarck or Darwin
- V) The Modern Synthesis: Development Vanishes
- A) Synthesis of Weismann's ultra-Darwinism and Mendelian genetics
 - B) Theory of heredity in the Modern Synthesis
 - 1) Gene as "hereditary unit of biological information" determining development
 - 2) Alleles: different versions of each gene (inherited from each parent)
 - 3) Mendel's laws
 - a) Two alleles separate in formation of gametes in same condition as entering parent body
 - b) Alleles belonging to different pairs segregate independently
 - 4) Dominants and recessives: hybrids do not show intermediates

- 5) Genes were located on the chromosomes, like beads on a string
- C) Mendelian genetics based on analysis of (visible) differences
 - 1) At first, genetics seemed to reinforce non-Darwinian discontinuous evolution
 - 2) But later it was shown genes can account for continuous variation
 - a) When characters are controlled by many genes
 - b) Each having a small effect
- D) Mendelian genetics has no explanation for development
 - 1) Genes located only in nucleus: ignored role of cytoplasm
 - 2) Morgan and *Drosophila*
 - 3) Johansen
 - a) Phenotype / genotype distinction
 - i) All individuals in a pure line have same genotype
 - ii) Phenotype depends on interaction of genotype and environment
 - b) Genes
 - i) Pass on "potential for characters"
 - ii) Unit of information about potential phenotype
 - iii) Unaffected by use of that information in development
 - iv) Very stable, though open to occasional mutation
- E) ENS according to the Modern Synthesis
 - 1) Heredity =
 - a) Transmission of germ-line genes
 - b) Located on chromosomes (nuclear focus)
 - c) Discrete unit of information about character
 - 2) Variation =
 - a) Consequence of random combinations of alleles through sexual reproduction
 - b) Each allele has small effect on characters
 - c) Mutations in genes are result of accidents
 - d) Genes are not affected by their use in development
 - 3) Selection
 - a) Occurs at phenotype level
 - b) Alleles accumulate in population through phenotypic selection
- F) Complaints by embryologists and plant biologists
 - 1) Nuclear genetic material is not the only important thing
 - 2) Cytoplasm is important for both heredity and development
- VI) Molecular Neo-Darwinism: The Supremacy of DNA
 - A) Triumph:
 - 1) DNA steps to the fore as mechanism of heredity and development
 - a) Hereditary gene = nucleotide string
 - b) Developmental gene = protein synthesis (via mRNA)
 - 2) "Central dogma" = unidirectional information flow
 - B) Needed nuances
 - 1) Non-nuclear inheritance in cytoplasmic organelles (mitochondria / chloroplasts)
 - 2) Breakdown of one-to-one allele / protein relation
 - a) Many allelic variations can produce same protein
 - b) And many differences in amino acid strings in proteins persist in population
 - c) Thus many differences in proteins and alleles are "selectively equivalent"
 - 3) "Junk DNA" or non-coding DNA
 - a) Some non-coding DNA is regulatory (controls gene expression) but not all!
 - b) Hereditary information becomes genetic program, not just genes as discrete units
 - C) ENS according to the Molecular Revolution
 - 1) Gene = unit of heredity = DNA string

- 2) Inheritance = DNA replication
- 3) Cytoplasmic organelles have own DNA
- 4) Mutations = changes in DNA sequence via
 - a) Mistakes in DNA replication
 - b) Chemical / physical damage to DNA w/ improper repair
 - c) Movement of DNA itself
 - d) Mutagens do not increase adaptive variations
 - e) So induced variation is blind / random

VII) Selfish Genes and Selfish Replicators: unit of selection controversy

A) Altruism

- 1) Explanation through group selection
- 2) Attack on group selection by mathematical arguments
 - a) At first successful
 - b) But then others claim math proof of possibility of group selection
- 3) Kin selection: Hamilton

B) Dawkins and the "gene's eye view" or "selfish gene"

- 1) Genes are units of inheritance *and* selection (bcs of stability and permanence)
- 2) Replicators vs vehicles
- 3) Replicators can unify biology, bcs they are units of
 - a) Heredity
 - b) Variation
 - c) Selection
 - d) Evolution
 - e) Development
- 4) They can even unify biology and sociology / psychology if we accept "memes"

C) Attacks on Dawkins

- 1) Defenders of other targets of selection (individuals / kin / groups) still are gene-centered
- 2) In that they agree that hereditary genes are in control of development
- 3) Gould: gene-centered evolution is just "bookkeeping"
 - a) Individuals / groups / species are targets of selection (bcs they survive / reproduce)
 - b) NS is not the only agent of evolution
 - i) Historical events (climate changes)
 - ii) Accidents affecting genetic variation
 - iii) Evolution is constrained by development (if organism isn't viable, it can't reproduce)
 - iv) Side effects or "spandels" are possible (adaptationist debate)
- 4) Gould and Dawkins agree
 - a) Gene-centered heredity relevant to non-human organisms
 - b) No such thing as IAC

VIII) The Transformations of Darwinism

IX) Dialogue

A) A-Life discussion: "limited heredity systems"

B) Dawkins

- 1) Unit of heredity / selection:
 - a) Dawkins: replicators must have high replication fidelity, which individuals don't have
 - b) Response: no one said individual was unit of heredity and selection: it's the trait
- 2) Relation of inheritance and development
 - a) Dawkins: assumes unidirectional influence from replicators to vehicles, but not inverse
 - b) Response: development does impinge on heredity
- 3) Unit of heredity
 - a) Dawkins: the gene is only biological hereditary unit
 - b) Response: there is epigenetic inheritance

- C) Molecular revolution
 - 1) It's progress to be able to speak at the molecular level
 - 2) But there are physiological and behavioral levels of heredity as well (not just cytoplasmic)
- D) Ideology
 - 1) Surely the Modern Synthesis isn't ideological like Lysenko?
 - 2) Of course, but "ideology" can be "assumptions" from "socio-political general worldview"

Chapter 2: From Genes to Characters

- I) Intro
 - A) Relation of genes and development is very important today
 - B) JL forecast:
 - 1) Epigenetic inheritance is important too
 - 2) Question of information in different inheritance systems:
 - a) What kind of info is transmitted
 - b) Mechanism of info transmittal
 - c) Extent and fidelity of info transmittal
 - d) Effects of transmitted info
- II) From DNA to Proteins
 - A) Heredity: DNA replication is a property of the cellular system, not of DNA alone
 - B) Function: DNA codes for proteins
 - 1) Transcription = splitting of double helix and production of primary RNA transcript
 - 2) Splicing = introns and exons
 - 3) Transport = exit from nucleus and entry into cytoplasm
 - 4) Ribosome = site of protein production
 - 5) Translation = formation of a polypeptide chain (amino acids)
 - C) Noncoding DNA
 - 1) Regulation of gene activity
 - 2) But the cell is involved in this regulation process, which means environment contributes too
- III) Digression: What is Information?
 - A) Two types of DNA info
 - 1) Coding for proteins
 - 2) Attachment sites for regulatory molecules
 - B) JL definition of information:
 - 1) Correlation of changes in receiver's functional state with form and organization of source
 - 2) This is "interpretation"
 - 3) Source remains unchanged by such interpretation by receiver
 - C) DNA information
 - 1) Characteristics:
 - a) Linear and modular
 - b) Replication is insensitive to content (vs. learning)
 - 2) Consequences:
 - a) A lot of raw material for NS can be generated
 - b) But "nonsensical" DNA can also be generated and transmitted
- IV) Genes, Characters, and Genetic Astrology
 - A) Monogenic diseases (one-to-one gene / trait determinism)
 - 1) Are quite rare
 - 2) But are a popular model for *all* gene action in development (= "genetic astrology")
 - B) Correlation is not causation in complex systems
- V) The Tangled Web of Interactions
 - A) Example of APOE gene and coronary artery disease

- B) Conclusions
 - 1) Cannot just add average effects of genes in a population and predict individual profiles
 - 2) Defeat of genetic determinism
 - a) Developmental plasticity = many phenotypes from "same" genotype
 - b) Canalization = same phenotype from "different" genotype
- C) Waddington and the epigenetic landscape
 - 1) Developmental canalization
 - 2) Multiple genetic effects
- D) Knockout gene experiments often produce no phenotypic differences
 - 1) Reasons:
 - a) Duplicate genes
 - b) Functional replacements
 - c) Dynamic regulatory networks can adapt
 - 2) Results
 - a) Demonstrates structural and functional redundancy in genome
 - b) Developmental canalization
 - c) Selectively neutral alleles
 - d) It's the "evolved network of interactions" that accounts for canalization
 - i) NB: genetic regulatory network (includes epigenetic factors) is unit of evolution
 - ii) Not the hereditary gene as DNA sequence
- VI) Genes in Pieces
 - A) Splicing:
 - 1) Excision of introns and splicing of exons
 - 2) The limits of introns and exons are not fixed
 - 3) The decisions are made by the network
 - a) Developmental (cytoplasmic & intrasomatic) & environmental (extrasomatic) conditions
 - b) Regulatory genes
 - B) Results: can no longer identify hereditary gene as locus of developmental control
- VII) Changing DNA in Development
 - A) This is "natural genetic engineering"
 - B) Discussion of Weismann and chromatin diminution
 - C) This discussion has been only about development of somatic cells
 - 1) Though it's fascinating that development can change DNA ("recipe")
 - 2) What about evolution?
 - a) Are there developmentally induced heritable changes to DNA in the germ line?
 - b) IOW, are there directed changes in variation for NS? This would be Lamarck's revenge!
- VIII) Dialogue
 - A) Language and DNA have same structure
 - 1) Modular
 - 2) Content-indifferent replication
 - 3) Encoded information
 - 4) Allows unlimited heritable variation
 - B) Population averages mask individual variation, but organisms live as individuals!
 - C) Problems with prediction:
 - 1) Hyper-astronomical number of permutations
 - a) Genetic interaction
 - b) Gene – environment interaction
 - 2) Cannot define "environment"
 - 3) Social environments are "partially constructed by individuals"
 - D) Must shift focus to dynamic / flexible / fuzzy networks
 - E) Focus on DNA alone is politically loaded

- F) DNA focus allows fight against monogenic diseases
- G) Effects on our thinking about evolution from focus on relation of genes / development
 - 1) Channels and limits our thought about evolutionary mechanisms so that we focus on "selection for the developmental, physiological, and behavioral stability and flexibility of genetic and cellular networks."
 - a) Controversy over genetic determinism / selectionism
 - i) Genetic determinism = thesis about development
 - ii) Genetic selectionism = thesis about evolution
 - b) Plasticity and canalization = network properties, not gene properties
 - 2) DNA can change in response to environmental cues
 - a) Systems of nonrandom DNA change
 - b) Formation of heritable DNA variation
 - c) Transmission of such variation

Chapter 3: Genetic Variation: Blind, Directed, Interpretive?

- I) Intro: John Cairns reintroduces question of directed (i.e., non-random) mutation
 - A) In retrospect, Cairns was wrong with his example, but opened door to research on mutation
 - B) JL will now claim that not all mutation is random, as previously believed
 - 1) Variation through sex
 - 2) Variation through mutation
 - 3) [NB: Lynn Margulis proposes symbiogenesis as major source of variation for evolution; mutations only modulate these major changes.]
- II) Genetic variation through sex and sexual reproduction
 - A) Sources
 - 1) Mixing genes from 2 nonidentical parents
 - 2) Meiosis: assignment of which chromosomes to gamete is random and independent
 - 3) Recombination of genes during "cross-over"
 - B) What is the evolutionary advantage of sexual reproduction?
 - 1) Prevent accumulation of bad mutations
 - 2) Faster evolution in changing and selective / competitive environments
 - C) Spectrum of modes of sexual and asexual reproduction: subject to evolution via NS
 - D) Sex as genetic exchange is not always tied to reproduction (e.g., bacteria)
- III) Variation through mutation
 - A) Tradeoff between reliability and flexibility of DNA
 - B) NS for "DNA-caretaker genes" for proof-reading and editing / correcting of DNA replication
- IV) Randomness questioned
 - A) Traditionally assumed that mutations are non-adaptive "mistakes," most of which are harmful
 - B) Now, we have question of "directed mutation"
 - 1) We have seen developmental changes in DNA
 - 2) McClintock proposed genome as "organ of cell" that "responds ... by restructuring genome"
 - 3) This is "stress-induced mutagenesis": affecting the maintaining / repairing system for DNA
 - C) Thought experiment: three types of response to change
 - 1) Conservative:
 - a) Heuristic strategy: Always and only try the traditional response
 - b) Biological method: use physiological response and wait for lucky mutation at natural rate
 - c) Prospects for success: works in slight changes, but in radical changes not very helpful
 - 2) Exploratory:
 - a) Heuristic strategy: try whatever is imaginable
 - b) Biological method: increase rate of random mutation
 - c) Prospects for success: depends on population size

- 3) Interpretive:
 - a) Heuristic strategy: stick to tradition when you can; cautiously interpret when you must
 - b) Biological method: non-random, but not-precisely-directed mutation
 - c) Prospects for success: seems to be good, as this strategy is selected for in many cases [?]
- V) Acquired, Required, Interpretive Mutations
 - A) Four types of non-random mutation
 - 1) Induced global mutation
 - 2) Local hypermutation
 - 3) Induced local mutation
 - 4) Induced regional increased mutation
 - B) These fit into a spectrum between "blind" and "developmentally regulated" genetic change
- VI) Evolved genetic guesses
 - A) Can no longer clearly distinguish instruction (development) and selection (evolution)
 - 1) E.g., immune system changes are developmental and selective (Edelman)
 - 2) Some evolutionary change (e.g., bacterial) are instructive
 - 3) So Lamarck is back in the picture
 - B) Development, heredity, and evolution are intertwined
- VII) Dialogue
 - A) Stress-induced increase in recombination in specific regions of chromosomes
 - B) Central dogma outlawing of backtranslation (changes from proteins to DNA)
 - 1) Backtranslation is not necessary for many types of IAC, which do not involve amino acids
 - 2) Most cell responses to changed conditions target regulatory and not coding sequences
 - 3) Most altered proteins due to changes in splicing / translation, not in coding sequences
 - C) So, most genetic change affecting gene expression
 - 1) Alter number of copies of genes
 - 2) Control sequences
 - 3) Gene location on chromosome
 - D) Why not more directed mutation? Because educated guesses are better than pure instruction.
 - E) How are induced adaptive changes possible, as they require phenotypic feedback?
 - 1) In bacteria, this is easy to imagine
 - 2) In complex multicellulars, it's very unlikely to have mechanisms for directed genetic change
 - a) Bcs. of complex interactions, such genetic change = "random" phenotypic effect
 - b) But not all inheritance is genetic!
 - c) So we can have IAC in other inheritance systems
 - i) Epigenetic
 - ii) Behavioral
 - iii) Symbolic

Interlude: Transition to Part II

- I) Two problems
 - A) Genetic system is thought to be sufficient
 - B) Properties of genetic system are attributed to other systems
- II) Analogy: genotype = score; phenotype = performance
 - A) Transmission:
 - 1) Transmission of genotype: copying
 - 2) Transmission of phenotype: reproduction of interpretations of score
 - B) Direction of effect

- 1) Usually only changes in score affect performance
 - 2) But sometimes changes in performance (phenotype) affects score (genotype)
- C) Consequences
- 1) Transmission systems have different technologies
 - 2) Other systems complement but do not replace genetic system
 - 3) Phenotypic variation / evolution can proceed independent of genetic change

Chapter 4: Epigenetic Inheritance System (EIS)

- I) Cell differentiation must have a memory system, not just a genetic triggering system
- II) Thought experiment: evolution via EIS w/o any genetic change: asexual reproduction
 - A) Double role of EISs allows for "directed or interpretive variation"
 - 1) Response system
 - 2) Transmission system
 - B) Discussion of thought experiment
 - 1) Different use of same DNA: regulation of gene expression
 - 2) Variants w/in each network of regulation of gene expression
 - a) NB: *not* a "regulatory gene network"
 - b) Bcs that implies it's only genes that do the regulating
 - c) Rather it's the distributed cellular system that regulates gene expression
 - 3) EIS transmit
 - a) Interpretations of DNA information
 - b) Phenotypes rather than genotypes
- III) Four types of EIS (all of which work together and interact: see p. 137)
 - A) Self-sustaining loops: memories of gene activity
 - 1) Stability / instability
 - a) Simple loops can switch easily to alternate states (small threshold for perturbation)
 - b) Complex loops can be resilient / stable (large threshold for perturbation)
 - 2) Type of information
 - a) Loop is unit of heritable variation, so information is "holistic" / nondecomposable
 - b) Versus the modularity of DNA
 - 3) Amount of variation depends on number of interacting loops
 - a) A single isolated loop has two state: on or off
 - b) But with networks of loops we have lots of permutations / variation
 - B) Structural inheritance: architectural memories: cell structures
 - 1) Ciliates: templates: variation in organization, not components
 - 2) Cavalier-Smith and the "membranome" in early evolution
 - a) First true cells
 - b) Bacterial groups
 - c) Eukaryotic cell
 - 3) Prions: self-templating proteins:
 - a) Diseases: kuru / CJD / BSE
 - b) Adaptive roles in multicellulars?
 - 4) Information and replication

- a) Holistic information
- b) No special / content-insensitive replication: only specific reconstruction
- C) Chromatin marking systems: chromosomal memories
 - 1) DNA is tightly wound via "chromatin packaging"
 - 2) Chromatin marks allow inheritance of pattern of gene expression
 - a) DNA methylation
 - i) Do not affect protein coding, but only regulatory sites, hence probability of transcription
 - ii) Part of heredity system transmitting epigenetic info in cell lineage
 - (a) Hitchhike on DNA replication during mitosis (= somatic cell lineages)
 - (b) But what about meiosis (= generation of gametes)?
 - iii) Content-insensitive copying / modular information
 - b) Protein complexes
 - c) Histone marks
- D) RNA interference: Silencing of the Genes
 - 1) Complex mechanism
 - 2) Basic idea: part of cellular genomic immune system
 - 3) Variations can have big developmental effects

IV) Examples of Epigenetic inheritance

- A) Controversy: EIS between generations, not just in somatic cell lineages? (see W-E review)
 - 1) Single celled eukaryotes: all 4 mechanisms in
 - 2) Bacteria: Methylation marks
 - 3) Multicellulars:
 - a) Asexual reproducers: no theoretical problem for X-gen EIS
 - b) Sexual reproducers: Fertilized egg must allow cell differentiation, so it must be neutral
 - i) So it was assumed epigenetic history was wiped clean before gamete production
 - ii) So "parental genomic imprinting" was big surprise:
 - (a) Different sexes produce different chromatin marks
 - (b) But these are transient: erased when chromosome passes to other sex
 - (c) So it doesn't seem good candidate for evolution
- B) Examples of persistent X-gen EIS
 - 1) Methylation
 - a) Silencing of transgene by inherited heavy methylation
 - b) Modification of patterns of ordinary gene activity:
 - i) Peloric plants: stable and transmitted "epimutation": different methylation of a gene
 - ii) Yellow mice: variation in methylation pattern on extra DNA from transposon
 - 2) What about X-gen EIS by RNA interference?
- C) Conclusion
 - 1) EIS = another source of variation = another dimension of evolution
 - 2) Epigenetic variation (EV) vs genetic variation
 - a) Occurs much faster, especially under changed environment
 - b) Several EVs may occur at same time
 - c) May not be blind to function, and hence increases chance of adaptive variation
 - 3) Role in speciation?

- 4) EIS not just cellular: e.g., uterine environment among Mongolian gerbils
- V) Dialogue
- 1) Non-DNA heredity may have preceded DNA system
 - 2) Why include all 4 systems together? They all transmit "information"
 - 3) These systems seem more developmental than evolutionary
 - a) These systems are both heredity and regulatory systems
 - b) Thus evo / devo / physio are linked
 - 4) Organism level advantages are needed from evolution (target of selection)
 - 5) Epigenetic variants passed to gametes?
 - a) Variant somatic cells can develop into gametes
 - b) Information exchange between somatic cells and germ line (RNA interference)
 - 6) Variant somatic cell types as basis for X-gen development?
 - a) Gametes must have full developmental (cell differentiation) potential
 - b) But this doesn't exclude chromosome marks in egg that bias only some development
 - 7) Cloning uses [already differentiated] somatic cells
 - a) Their specialization must be erased to allow full cell differentiation
 - b) Don't forget there are a lot of developmental errors in cloning
 - 8) Reliability of epigenetic inheritance
 - a) Admittedly less than for genetic variations
 - b) But this low reliability can be supplemented by stable environmental induction
 - 9) What exactly is transmitted by EIS?
 - a) P. 151: "components of an activity or a state that biases the reconstruction of same activity or state in next generation."
 - b) Thus we have to think in terms of reconstruction rather than copying (Very Important!)
 - i) Most likely with chromatin marks or RNA interference
 - ii) Other routes between soma and germ line: aren't they contra the central dogma?
 - (a) No, CD only forbids info from protein to DNA / RNA (reverse translation)
 - (b) Going from RNA to DNA (reverse transcription) is not a problem
 - (c) Info bypassing germ line (e.g., substances in mother's milk) is no problem
 - 10) No direct evidence of adaptive X-gen epigenetic variation

Chapter 5: Behavioral Inheritance Systems (BIS)

- I) Thought experiment: evolution via BIS w/o genetic or epigenetic change
 - A) Info must be transmitted and acquired so that behavior is reconstructed
 - B) Culture = "system of socially transmitted patterns of behavior, preferences, and products of animal activities that characterize a group of social animals"
 - C) Cultural evolution = "change, through time, in nature and frequency of socially transmitted preferences, patterns, or products of behavior in a population"
 - 1) Mostly independent of genetic evolution
 - 2) But the two systems can intersect
- II) Transmitting information through social learning: 3 forms of BIS
 - A) Transfer of behavior-influencing substances
 - 1) Means of transmittal

- a) Uterine environment
- b) Milk
- c) Saliva / breath
- d) Feces
- 2) Enables avoidance of trial-and-error learning
- 3) Information
 - a) Holistic
 - b) Transferred substance is building block enabling reconstruction of mother's behavior
- 4) Two further properties
 - a) Non-parental transfer is possible (adoption)
 - b) Usually non-blind variation:
 - i) Info via acquisition and testing by mother
 - ii) Variations due to her development and learning
- B) Non-imitative learning: observation allowing reconstruction
 - 1) Non-material information transfer: requires interpretation by recipient
 - 2) Imprinting: usually there is a relatively early window for learning
 - a) Behavioral imprinting
 - b) Sexual imprinting
 - 3) Attention is drawn to features of environment / outcome of behavior (goal, not method)
 - 4) Information:
 - a) Must be displayed (no latent info, as with genetic system)
 - b) Holistic
 - c) Never a random or blind variation (requires interpretation / reconstruction)
 - d) Not limited to parental transmission
 - e) Not many variants concerning any one behavior
- C) Imitative learning
 - 1) E.g., vocal imitation, as in birds, whales, dolphins
 - 2) Information
 - a) Must be displayed
 - b) Modular, not holistic
 - 3) Variants not blind to function
 - a) Needs "internal filter" to identify potentially useful variants
 - b) Variations are targeted and culturally constructed
 - i) Simple rules to organize perceptions, emotions, learning (categories)
 - ii) Type of info is structured by evolutionary history of its lineage
 - 4) Recipients play active role:
 - a) "niche construction": animal activity changes environment / selection pressures
 - b) So one generation's actions in constructing a niche will bias info transmission / reception
- III) Traditions and cumulative evolution: evolving new lifestyles
 - A) Obviously, we have to consider genetic basis of capacity for culture
 - B) There's no basis for assuming animal culture is limited in scope / complexity
 - 1) There hasn't been a lot of research done here
 - 2) Question of funding
 - a) Molecular biology / biotech / corporation / grants system

- b) Basic research vs applied research
- c) Bayh-Dole Act and shift to "public-private partnerships" in biotech research
- d) Public funding of infrastructure ("risk")
 - i) Buildings
 - ii) Education
- e) Private appropriation of success ("profit")
- C) Evidence of cumulative cultural evolution among nonhuman animals: Japanese beach monkeys
- IV) Dialogue
 - A) Early experience is important, but not absolutely determining
 - B) Genetic predispositions vs learned preferences
 - 1) Probably no visible difference in behavior
 - 2) X-gen effects
 - a) Genetic info can be latent: it is passed on even w/o environmental inputs
 - b) Learned preferences only transmitted w/ environmental input
 - i) Thus the preference can easily die out
 - ii) What can account for cultural tradition then?
 - (a) Need stable environment for continued tradition (i.e., transmission of variants)
 - 1. This can lead to "environmental addiction"
 - 2. And degradation of genes for that preference
 - a. This is called "masking": stable environment hides genetic variation
 - b. IOW, you get successful phenotype even w/ degraded genome
 - (b) And / or variant is stabilized by links to other behaviors and / or environment
- C) Targeted variations can be stabilized by "functional fidelity"
 - 1) With genes, you need highly reliable replication of structural genes to conserve adaptations
 - 2) But with other inheritance systems, you just need whatever works:
 - a) "content" doesn't need to be the same
 - b) Effects just need to be as good or better
- D) Role of BIS in speciation
- E) Altruism and NS
- F) Horizontal transmission allows for bad behaviors to spread
- G) Animals are not passive recipients of BIS!
- H) Inseparability of heredity / evolution and development
- I) Insect traditions?

Chapter 6: Symbolic Inheritance System (SIS)

- I) Intro: discussion of symbols as key to human uniqueness
- II) Thought experiment: Crusoe and the parrots
 - A) Similarities of parrot calls and human language
 - 1) Signs are arbitrary
 - 2) Signs are referential
 - 3) Signs are conventional
 - B) Differences between parrot calls and human language
 - 1) Parrots have poor repertoire

- 2) Sign system is rigid; each sign is a unit
 - a) They don't generalize the properties of words and apply to new items
 - b) They don't grasp relation between words
- C) Humans: words are symbols bcs part of rule-governed system of self-referential signs
 - 1) Can transfer truth-value, emotional value and action value to sentence level
 - 2) Can not only go from situations to words, but from word combinations to situations
 - 3) Rule-bound generation of variants
- D) General properties of symbols
 - 1) Interpreted w/in shared cultural framework of practices w/in which symbols function
 - 2) Meaning of symbols depends on
 - a) Relations of symbols to way culture experiences objects / actions in world
 - b) Relations of symbols to other signs in the cultural system
 - 3) Thus we have a "shared imagined reality"
- E) JP: I would add that this treatment underplays the affective dimension:
 - 1) Cultural practices teaching meaning of symbols w/in a system
 - 2) Also shape the affective aspect of the "body politic"
 - a) Patterns, triggers, thresholds of basic and social emotions
 - b) This historically developed body politic is what generates moral intuitions
- III) Symbolic communication as inheritance system
 - A) Shared structure w/ genetic system: transmission of latent information
 - B) Difference from genetic system: unlimited translatability into different media
 - C) New symbolic information is targeted
 - 1) Fit with tradition
 - 2) With an eye to innovation and readiness for future
 - D) Structure
 - 1) Language is modular
 - 2) Picture / dance tends to holism, but retains some modularity
 - 3) Hierarchical organization
 - E) Many transmission paths: vertical and horizontal
 - F) Requires active instruction
- IV) Cultural evolution and symbolic communication
 - A) Symbols permeate all aspects of human culture
 - 1) Even acquired behaviors have symbolic association
 - 2) JP: as do practices forming "body politic" / affective cognition
 - B) Many cultural evolution models are problematic
 - 1) They assume copying vs reconstruction (= active acquisition / interpretation)
 - 2) JP: for me, "information" is problematic too
 - a) Even if reconstructed, rather than copied
 - b) I'd rather focus on affective cognition / body politic formation
- V) Competing Theories
 - A) "Selfish Memes"
 - 1) Presuppositions:
 - a) Meme = unit of information embodied in neural circuits
 - b) Relies on memes as replicators and organism – cultural products as vehicles

- 2) Criticisms:
 - a) Vehicles cannot transmit acquired variations
 - b) But heritable variation in behavior and ideas (allegedly, "memes")
 - i) Are reconstructed (by the alleged "vehicles") in next generation's development
 - ii) Hence can transmit variations, bcs learning is function / meaning sensitive process
 - c) Reconstruction of a behavior ties together transmission and the transmitted variant
 - i) The developmental consequence (= neural circuits for the behavior)
 - ii) Come not by copying but by reconstruction of a social / environmental network
 - (a) So transmission is not simply copying of the transmitted unit
 - (b) But is a property of a distributed system
- B) Evolutionary psychology (EP) and mental modules
 - 1) JP: Preliminary distinction (not offered by authors)
 - a) Sociobiology: explananda = behaviors
 - b) EP: explananda = psychological modules producing tendencies for behavior
 - 2) Characteristics of EP
 - a) Gene-centered: culture is veneer
 - b) Massive modularity of mind / embodied in specific neural networks
 - c) Selection during Pleistocene
 - d) Possible contemporary mal-adaptivity of inherited module (which *was* an adaptation)
 - 3) Alternate explanations
 - a) Neural plasticity (especially in cortex)
 - i) Thus I can accommodate some modules, esp. for basic emotions
 - ii) But deny "massive" modularity, esp. for complex social situations
 - b) Culture as productive
 - i) Culture is not simply a modification of underlying structure
 - ii) IOW, cultural practices produce body politic via neural plasticity
 - (a) Cultural differences cannot be decoded to reveal universal human nature (UHN)
 - (b) I believe in such a UHN (I'm not a pure social constructivist)
 1. But UHN is limited to patterns basic emotions
 2. While triggers and thresholds are plastic and constructed by culture
 - 4) Thought experiment: "literacy module"
 - a) Evidentiary issues:
 - i) Reasons to be tempted to posit evolved literacy module
 - (a) Complex behavior
 - (b) Yet easily acquired at early age by almost every child
 - (c) And disrupted by neurological anomalies
 - ii) Can also be explained by combination of two factors
 - (a) Pre-existing cognitive adaptations
 1. But not for "literacy"!
 2. But for pattern recognition, etc.
 - (b) Wide spread and continuously reproduced environmental inducers
 - 5) Methodological issues with EP
 - a) No neurological data to back up EP (on the contrary, cortical plasticity is rule)
 - b) EP relies on inferences from psychological tests

- c) Overlooking of more plausible cultural explanations
 - i) E.g., sexual selection argument for greater male creativity
 - ii) Parsimony of explanation would argue for cultural explanation
- 6) Major difficulties with central planks EP
 - a) Universality and invariance
 - i) Explanation by means of gene-based psychological module
 - ii) May be premature bcs social universals haven't been identified
 - b) Ease of acquisition (Chomsky vs Deacon)
 - i) Chomsky: poor quality input but impressive competence anyway = language organ
 - ii) Deacon: coevolution of language and neural capacities = ease of learning
 - (a) Cultural evolution can adapt to capacities of brain
 - (b) Cultural niches can then exert selection pressure on brain

VI) From evolution to history

- A) EP and memetics are Darwinian:
 - 1) Cultural competition is only a selection factor for mysteriously generated variations
 - 2) Which are quite strongly constrained by inherited modules anyway
- B) JL are Lamarckians:
 - 1) Culture also generates directed variation via capacity for future planning
 - 2) And culture operates so as to consolidate and create mutually reinforcing life-styles
 - a) Thus it's impossible to isolate a "meme" or "module" for independent selection
 - b) Because "selection, generation and transmission" of cultural variants
 - i) Are linked together
 - ii) And embedded in economic, legal and political systems
- C) Example of change in punishment for stealing sheep

VII) Dialogue

- A) Relation of language to other symbolic systems
 - 1) Language transformed gesture into symbolic system
 - 2) Language co-evolved with other symbolic modes (Merlin Donald)
- B) Culture as emergent
 - 1) Are cultural products autonomous replicators merely inhabiting robotic vehicles
 - 2) No; even though culture is independent of any one individual, humans are agents
 - a) "Men make history, but not on conditions of their own choosing"
 - b) Need to see diachronic and not just synchronic emergence
 - i) Synchronic: part / whole leads to impossible problems
 - (a) Individual / society
 - (b) Structure / agency
 - ii) Diachronic:
 - (a) Highlights issues of X-gen reconstruction
 - (b) And criteria for identifying "crisis zones / periods" for innovation
- C) Latent cultural information can be transmitted: potential for variants to be created later
- D) Is "cultural evolution" really a useful term?
 - 1) Can you give a rigorous definition?
 - 2) Why not just give thick descriptions of cultures (Geertz)?
 - 3) David Hull's definition of Lamarckianism vs Mayr and "soft inheritance"

- E) EP and UHN
- F) JL's final statement: agency and the "tangle of construction"

Chapter 7: Interacting Dimensions – Genes and Epigenetic Systems

- I) Introduction
 - A) Concept of genetic assimilation will be important
 - B) Two ways epigenetic dimension can influence genetic dimension
 - 1) Generation of variation
 - 2) Selection bias
- II) Effects of Epigenetic systems on generation of genetic variation
 - A) Recap of previous points about DNA
 - 1) DNA is always packaged in chromatin, often with methyls
 - 2) Epigenetic factors influence gene expression (high methylation tends to turn off genes)
 - 3) Epigenetic marks reconstructed after DNA replication (e.g., mitosis in somatic cell lineages)
 - B) Epigenetic marks affect probability that a region will undergo genetic change
 - 1) Types of change
 - a) Mutation
 - b) Recombination
 - c) Transposons ("jumping genes")
 - 2) Change more likely in low chromatin / high gene activity regions (but there are exceptions)
 - C) Significance of epigenetically-influenced genetic changes for development / evolution
 - 1) Development: cancer
 - 2) Generation of mutations in germ-cell lines
 - a) McClintock and stress-induced transposons
 - b) Transposons correlated with low methylation
 - 3) Are such changes adaptive?
 - a) Or simply "parasitic" / "selfish" genes?
 - b) Plants are able to take advantage of such stress-induced transposons due to modularity
 - 4) These transposons can lead to mutations by messing around with gene regulation
 - 5) But in general "we do not know full evolutionary significance of effects of EIS on mutations"
- III) How EISs have molded evolution of development
 - A) EIS role in cell memory is precondition for evolution of multicellulars
 - 1) w/o cell memory, uncontrolled cell type switches can hurt organism efficiency
 - 2) so you need evolution for EISs allowing flexibility and reliability
 - B) Evolution of EIS role in development so gametes have neutral state allowing cell differentiation
 - 1) Locked-in cell types cannot become gametes, so strong EIS prevents danger that way
 - 2) Reason for early germ-line segregation in animals
 - 3) Reprogramming in meiosis and gamete production
 - C) Genomic Imprints and Gene Selection
 - 1) Parental marking on inherited chromosomes affect gene expression
 - 2) Originated as incidental by-products of different DNA packaging in gametes
 - 3) Developmental functions
 - a) Sex determination
 - b) "dosage compensation"
 - i) Female inactivation of one X chromosome
 - ii) In extraembryonic tissues, it's always father's X that is inactive
 - (a) Haig's hypothesis to account for this phenomenon
 - (b) Countering "greedy" embryos requires strong parental markers

- c) Possible means for fathers to influence daughters (sons receive only Y from fathers)
- IV) Induced Epigenetic Variations and the Selection of Genes
- A) Russian silver fox experiment:
 - 1) Domestication changes phenotype: behavior, hormones, morphology, and chromosomes
 - 2) Belyaev's interpretation
 - a) Stressful situations allow "dormant" genes to become activated
 - b) Phenotypic changes are due to epigenetic rather than genetic change
 - c) JL: cf. McClintock, who posits genomic change (transposons) due to stress
 - 3) JP: stress makes unexpressed variation be now exposed to selection
 - a) But what is "unexpressed variation"?
 - b) It's variation at the level of networks of regulation of gene expression
 - c) NOT at level of structure of DNA sequences (McClintock's transposons)
 - d) So we have to think the "virtual" being of such "unexpressed" variation
 - B) Genetic Assimilation: How Interpretation Selects the Score
 - 1) Stress-induced epigenetic changes can guide selection of genetic variants
 - a) Waddington provided a Darwinian explanation for Lamarckian IAC
 - b) Background:
 - i) Most development is robust, despite genetic / environmental variation
 - ii) But mutants can exhibit great phenotypic variation
 - iii) Because they hadn't been subject to NS which selects for developmental "canalization"
 - c) Canalization presupposes "invisible" (= shielded from NS) genetic variation
 - d) Stress reveals this variation (exposes it to NS,) by allowing it to produce new phenotypes
 - e) So canalization has a double effect
 - i) In normal circumstances it masks variation and allows it to accumulate
 - ii) In stress it allows development of new phenotypes exposed to NS and thus new evolutionary potential
 - (a) JP: but what exactly is "masked"?
 - (b) It is "unexpressed genetic variation"
 - 1. But is that structural genetic variation (different DNA sequences)?
 - 2. Or is it functional genetic variation (networks of gene regulation)?
 - a. If the latter, then what's masked is the potential for forming new networks, which include cell conditions / enzymes, etc
 - b. E.g., JL p. 268: selection "brings together" previously hidden [and previously independent!] genetic variations
 - i. But what is ontological status of this potential to form new networks out of previously independent elements?
 - ii. Here I think Deleuze's virtual can help
 - 2) Waddington called his process "genetic assimilation"
 - a) Recurrent X-gen environmental stresses produce recurrent epigenetic changes
 - b) Individuals with genes enabling quick and easy production of those epigenetic changes thrived and those genes spread, so that most members of the population now need only slight environmental input
 - c) Eventually, the phenotype is genetically assimilated
 - d) NB: West-Eberhard writes at 448 of her review that this is better version: "an induced epigenetic change that occurs repeatedly can guide selection on phenotypes that favor genes that bias development toward production of same phenotype"
 - 3) So big question, post Molecular Revolution: what are mechanisms of genetic assimilation?
 - C) Genetic Assimilation Meets Molecular Biology
 - 1) Revival of Waddington
 - a) Ecological biologists looking to phenotypic plasticity
 - b) Evo-devo interest in epigenetics and regulation of gene expression

- c) Possible mechanisms for genetic assimilation
 - 2) Rutherford, Linquist et al.
 - a) Protein folding sometimes needs "chaperones," like Hsp90
 - b) Dual function of Hsp90
 - i) Normal conditions: stabilizes proteins regulating development by helping them fold
 - ii) Stress conditions: helps protect / restore damaged proteins
 - c) Experimental results show Hsp90 is developmental buffer, masking genetic variation
- D) A Revealing Yeast Prion
- V) Epigenetic Revelations
 - A) Summary of main points
 - 1) Belyaev: hidden genetic variation revealed by domestication
 - 2) Waddington:
 - a) Developmental canalization through NS of buffering combinations
 - b) Stress pushes development out of canals and produces different phenotypes which expose previously hidden genetic variation to new round of NS
 - c) Genetic assimilation: induced phenotypes can be produced w/o environmental induction
 - 3) Lindquist group posits Hsp90 as buffering mechanism
 - 4) Rudner suggests heritable epigenetic variation
 - 5) Prions may also be transmitted
 - B) Conclusions:
 - 1) Epigenetic changes can reveal masked (due to developmental canalization) genetic variation
 - 2) Genetic assimilation is Darwinian explanation of supposedly Lamarckian evolution
 - a) Lamarckianism requires IAAC (inheritance of acquired adaptive characters)
 - b) But not all environmentally induced phenotypic plasticity is adaptive
 - 3) Inheritance of induced epigenetic changes: new dimension to evolution
 - a) Additional source of variation
 - b) Arise during changing conditions, when variation is most important
 - c) Reversibility of epigenetic variations is quicker and easier
 - d) Can do a "holding job" until genes catch up (W-E: genes are followers, not leaders)
- VI) Dialogue

Chapter 8: Genes and Behavior, Genes and Language

- I) Introduction
 - A) Environment's role in evolution
 - 1) Traditionally: selection of [mutation / sexual recombination] variants
 - 2) Generation of developmental variants [phenotypic / developmental plasticity]
 - a) Ch 7 considered morphology
 - b) Ch 8 looks at behavior
 - B) Niche-construction: organisms affect environment; thus selection pressures for self and X-gen
- II) Genes, Learning, and Instincts
 - A) How to explain evolution of instinct by NS?
 - 1) Learned behavior becomes innate
 - 2) Sexual selection works alongside NS
 - 3) Baldwin effect, as read through Waddington's genetic assimilation
 - a) Presupposes unexposed genetic variation (this time for learning, not morphology)
 - b) Environmental changes expose differential learning capacities
 - i) These learning capacities can be selected
 - ii) And thus the genetic components of that learning capacity can be assimilated
 - B) Expanding the Repertoire: The Assimilate-Stretch Principle
 - 1) Previous treatment:

- a) Selection for learning undermines learning by replacing it w/ instinct
 - b) But this presupposes a stable environment
- 2) Changing environment: leads to selection for behavioral / learning flexibility
- 3) Consequences of genetic assimilation:
 - a) Assimilate-stretch principle: adding behavior to instinct frees learning and creates possibility of cumulative sophisticated behavior
 - b) Categorization:
 - i) Full assimilation might lock in a specific identification
 - ii) Partial assimilation might allow for categorization
- III) Cultural Niche-construction
 - A) X-gen transmission of changed environment via learning co-implicates genes and behavior
 - B) Durham and co-evolution of genes and culture
 - 1) Dairy practices and lactose / lactase
 - 2) Slash & burn agriculture and mosquitoes / malaria / sickle-cell
 - C) Tay-Sachs
 - 1) Evolution of TB-fighting allele due to ghettoization of Jews?
 - 2) Now, the inverse: presences of Tay-Sachs allele changing cultural practices re: screening
 - D) Problem
 - 1) If culture is constantly changing niche and hence conditions for genetic NS, is there time for genes to ever catch up?
 - 2) JP: maybe NS for genes for general intelligence / flexible learning / cortical plasticity?
 - 3) IOW, instead of assimilation of instincts, assimilation of loss of instinct?
 - 4) So human nature is to be open to culture as our second nature? (cf. Wexler)
- IV) What is Language?
 - A) Three schools of thought
 - 1) Chomsky and generative grammar / innate UG / "language organ"
 - 2) Functionalists: language as product of general cognitive mechanisms
 - 3) Daniel Dor: constrained communication / core set of semantic categories in all languages
 - B) How Language Changed the Genes
 - 1) Dor and Jablonka: language = co-evolution of genetic and cultural inheritance systems
 - a) Niche-construction and genetic assimilation both play role
 - b) Adaptive innovations must meet two constraints
 - i) Good for communication (blend of ambiguity and precision)
 - ii) Easy to learn, remember, use
 - c) There will have to be genetic component for neural / vocalization changes
 - 2) Key for Dor and Jablonka: *partial* genetic assimilation (308)
 - 3) JP: at some point we have to acknowledge linguistic exuberance / excess / poetry!
- V) Dialogue
 - A) Moderate modularity, not "massive"
 - B) Selection for both plasticity and resilience / canalization / buffering
 - 1) Both involve masking / unmasking
 - 2) W-E: "gen. accommodation" = gen. stabilization via selection of new phenotypic responses
 - C) Culture / gene co-evolution of language
 - 1) "limited emergence" (vs. full blown sudden "language organ" appearance)
 - 2) Gossip and recursion

Chapter 9: Lamarckism Evolving: The Evolution of the Educated Guess

- I) Introduction
 - A) Recap of important points
 - 1) Multiple inheritance systems

- 2) Variation can be targeted and constructed
- 3) Adaptations can be re-purposing of existing mechanisms selected for other functions
- II) The Origin and Genetics of Interpretive Mutations
 - A) Two views on stress-induced mutagenesis
 - 1) Pathological, not adaptive
 - 2) Adaptive (shaped by NS)
 - B) Mechanisms of stress-induced mutagenesis
 - 1) SOS response system
 - 2) E. coli: making appropriate guesses (which part of genome to allow mutations in)
 - 3) Hot spots in pathogenic micro-organisms
 - C) Evolution of stress-induced "interpretive" mutagenesis
 - 1) By-products of emergency DNA repair systems
 - 2) Common and random DNA changes
- III) The Origin of EISs and the Genetics of Epigenetics
 - A) Role of EIS in ancient unicellulars
 - 1) Allows switches among heritable states
 - a) Faster rate than genetic mutation
 - b) Readily reversible
 - c) Functional link to changing environment
 - 2) Adaptation to predictable aspects of environment: e.g., cycles
 - a) Long-lived organisms can adapt physiologically / behaviorally
 - b) Short-lived organisms can change genetically
 - c) Mid-term organisms (ratio of generation to environmental cycle):
 - i) Epigenetic inheritance / cell memory tailored to environmental cycle
 - ii) NS can hone in on appropriate fit of epigenetic change to "" "" ""
 - B) Four types of EIS
 - 1) Self-Sustaining Loops
 - a) Gene expression and cellular conditions form distributed network
 - b) Stuart Kauffman and self-organization of such networks
 - i) Multiple stable configurations
 - ii) Flexibility vs. resilience maximized at "edge of chaos"
 - 2) Structural Inheritance
 - a) Lindquist lab and genetic basis of protein-templating
 - b) Cavalier-Smith and "genetic membranes"
 - 3) Chromatin Marking
 - a) Methylation:
 - i) Today it is part of a "genomic immune system"
 - ii) Probably originated for stabilizing gene regulation
 - (a) And was recruited for defense
 - (b) Only after development of protein marking
 - b) Protein markings
 - i) Originally transmitted by accident
 - ii) NS would select favorable changes
 - c) Repeated DNA sequences
 - 4) RNA interference
 - a) Evolved as genomic immune system
 - b) But perhaps regulatory functions are even older?
- IV) The Origins of Animal Traditions: Selection for Social Attention and Social Learning
 - A) With social learning, other animals are part of environment in which learning happens
 - B) Problems with asocial learning
 - 1) High cost of mistakes

- 2) Difficulty in learning w/o help from others
- V) What is needed for the Evolution of Communication through Symbols?
 - A) Bonobo research: learned symbol use (not training!)
 - B) Two conditions for evolution of hominid symbol use
 - 1) Altered ecological / social environment: leaving forests for savannah
 - 2) Anatomical / physiological changes allowing vocalization
- VI) Transitions on the Evolution Mountain
 - A) This is a classic anthropocentric / progressive image, but authors are aware of this
 - B) Evolutionary changes via new types of information transmission
 - C) Comparison with Maynard Smith and Szathmáry
 - 1) MS and S: focus on changes in genetic system; no room for instructive processes
 - 2) JL: focus on new types of inherited information as crucial factors in evolution (EIS, BIS, SIS) and on "educated guesses" / "interpretive" variation
- VII) Dialogue
 - A) Evolution of evolvability still controversial
 - B) Why not see all this just as temporally extended phenotypic plasticity of same genotype?
 - 1) But recognizing relative autonomy of other ISs for full view of evolution is important
 - 2) Phenotypic continuity preceded and probably formed basis of genetic system
 - a) Self-sustaining chemical cycles
 - b) Structural templating
 - C) Surplus! (351)

Chapter 10: A Last Dialogue

- I) Four common objections
 - A) It's all genetic at base
 - B) Epigenetic inheritance is unimportant to both evolution and development
 - C) You haven't shown Lamarckism really works
 - 1) Definitions:
 - a) Narrow definition: feedback from soma to genes
 - b) Broader definition: "soft inheritance"
 - 2) Why not just avoid "Lamarckism" terminology?
 - D) Comparative question:
 - 1) Two versions
 - a) Broad question: what is relative importance of each system
 - b) Narrow question: what is genes vs culture for humans
 - 2) Discussion of "heritability"
- II) Practical implications (364)
 - A) X-gen effects of nutrition
 - B) Agriculture
 - C) Cloning
- III) Ecology and niche-construction
 - A) Margulis
 - 1) Multicellulars as community
 - 2) Phenotypic continuity is essential to ecological webs
 - B) Gaia
- IV) Political / economic forces
 - A) Behavioral / symbolic level: Sociobiology and memetics
 - B) Spencer
- V) Philosophical questions
 - A) Allies

- 1) DST
- 2) Lewontin
- B) Reductionism and replicators
- C) Process-orientation
- VI) Moral implications (379)