

Evolution in Four Dimensions

Chapters 1-3

Outline by John Protevi of

Eva Jablonka and Marion Lamb, *Evolution in Four Dimensions: Genetic, Epigenetic, Behavioral, and Symbolic Variation in the History of Life* (MIT, 2005)

LSU French Studies

www.protevi.com/john/Morality/4dimensions1-3.pdf

protevi@lsu.edu

Permission granted to copy and distribute for academic use with proper attribution.

Chapter 1: The Transformations of Darwinism

JL = Jablonka and Lamb

Evolution = change in historical series of entities

NS = natural selection (mechanism of evolution)

ENS = evolution by natural selection

IAC = inheritance of acquired characteristics

EIHV = environmental induction of heritable variation

CM = chromosomal material

- I) Intro: controversies in science and in biology
 - A) Darwin had little to say about nature and causes of hereditary variation
 - B) JL will trace history of gene-centered versions of Darwinism
- II) Darwin's Darwinism
 - A) Laws of Evolution by Natural Selection (ENS)
 - 1) Darwin's version of ENS
 - a) Reproduction
 - b) Inheritance
 - c) Variability
 - d) Struggle for Existence
 - 2) Maynard Smith's generalization of ENS
 - a) Multiplication
 - b) Variation
 - c) Heredity
 - d) Competition
 - 3) With Maynard Smith's generalization
 - a) No need to know anything about
 - i) Processes of heredity and multiplication
 - ii) Origins of heritable variation
 - iii) The nature of the entity that evolves through NS
 - b) Thus it can in theory be applied to many systems (warning: many debates here!)
 - i) Cosmology
 - ii) Economics
 - iii) Culture
 - B) Darwin and heritable variation via "effects of life on organism and 'use and disuse'"
 - 1) Sounds like Lamarck
 - a) Inheritance of acquired characteristics (IAC)

- b) Shown to be wrong by Darwin's theory of NS
 - 2) But the usual story about Lamarck is wrong
 - a) What's wrong about it?
 - i) Lamarck was not a simpleton
 - ii) Lamarck did not invent idea about IAC
 - iii) Darwin had a role for "use and disuse" in his theory
 - iv) Darwin's theory of NS did not displace IAC
 - b) What's the real story?
 - i) Lamarck was a sophisticated thinker and didn't solely focus on IAC
 - ii) Almost all biologists believed in IAC throughout 19th C
 - iii) Darwin believed in IAC
 - iv) NS was not the reason IAC was displaced
 - C) Darwin's theory of heredity: pangenesis
 - 1) Gemmules as units of heredity and development
 - a) Tiny particles spread throughout body
 - b) In sexual beings, gemmules accumulate in reproductive organs
 - i) Gemmules join in sperm and egg before development
 - ii) Offspring are a blend of parental characters
 - iii) What is inherited is the actual character
 - iv) Some gemmules are dormant and awake in later generations
 - v) "inheritance = form of growth"
 - 2) But what accounts for variation, since with blending, you should get uniformity?
 - a) The theory: environmental change can "induce" variation
 - i) Change in nutrition / climate affects growth
 - (a) Alters proportion of gemmules in reproductive organs
 - (b) Awakens dormant gemmules
 - ii) Changed conditions change gemmules themselves
 - b) The result: Darwin's theory allows for IAC
 - D) Conclusion by JL: EIHV does NOT weaken evolution by NS
 - 1) In fact, EIHV increases
 - a) Amount of variation
 - b) Scope of NS
 - 2) Generality of theory of evolution by NS is not limited to
 - a) Any one theory of mechanism of heredity
 - b) Any one theory of causes of variation
- III) Weismann's Neo-Darwinian Theory: Acquired Characteristics Discarded
- A) Three great advances in mid-19th C biology
 - 1) Cell theory (Virchow)
 - 2) Evolution by NS (Darwin)
 - 3) Refutation of spontaneous generation (Pasteur)
 - B) Linking cell theory to heredity / development / evolution (Weismann)
 - 1) Once chromosomal material was identified as hereditary / developmental substance
 - 2) Then mitosis must be distinguished from meiosis
 - a) Mitosis: division of ordinary [eukaryotic] cells:
 - i) Doubling then division of chromosomes
 - ii) Splitting of cell into two daughter cells
 - iii) Each daughter cell
 - (a) Inherits one half of the doubled material
 - (b) That is, a full batch of chromosomal material (CM)
 - iv) But that creates problems for reproduction
 - (a) If sperm and egg are produced by mitosis

- (b) Then each have full complement of CM
 - (c) And the fertilized egg would have double amount of CM!
 - b) Meiosis: "reduction division" in production of sperm and egg
 - i) Each daughter cell (sperm or egg) receives only half CM
 - ii) So the fertilized egg will have the normal full complement of CM
 - C) Weismann rejects IAC
 - 1) No way for properties of somatic cells to be transmitted to sperm or egg cells
 - 2) Thus we have the continuity of the germ plasm
 - a) Segregation of germ plasm early in development for separate production of sperm / egg
 - b) Partial inheritance of "determinants" as answer to cell differentiation
 - i) Each embryonic cell receives a different part of nuclear material
 - ii) So the nuclear material should be getting simpler as cell differentiation proceeds
 - iii) Only the germ plasm in germ line retains full complement of determinants
 - D) Source of variation for Weismann
 - 1) Sexual reproduction involves mingling germ plasm from parents
 - a) Which means there's a long history of mingling from ancestors
 - b) Now half of CM eliminated in meiosis is not same for every sperm / egg
 - c) Thus we have rich source of variability from mingling and meiotic "reshuffling"
 - 2) But what was original source of variation?
 - a) Random accidents alter determinants
 - b) Leading to "germinal selection" via changes in nutrition / temperature etc.
 - i) Environment has heritable effects via direct action on germ plasm
 - ii) Unit of selection issue:
 - (a) Not just individual organism
 - (b) But determinants in germ plasm
 - (c) Cells w/in a tissue
 - (d) Groups
 - E) Summary: differences btw Weismann and Darwin
 - 1) Weismann gives NS an exclusive role, rejecting IAC
 - 2) Weismann had different hereditary theory (determinants vs gemmules)
 - 3) Weismann source of heritable variation via effects on determinants in germ line
 - 4) Weismann focused on sexual reproduction as producing heritable variation
- IV) Doubts about Darwinism
- A) Neo-Lamarckians:
 - 1) Progressive / goal-directed evolution
 - 2) Herbert Spencer: evolution in many developmental processes / belief in IAC
 - 3) Lacked a good theory of heredity
 - B) Gradualism vs discontinuous evolution
 - 1) Can Darwin's notion of gradual evolution through selection of small variation account for continuously varying traits or must we think in terms of saltatory "sports"?
 - 2) What about speciation? There doesn't seem to be continuity here, but gaps!
 - a) De Vries proposes "mutation" as accounting for sudden, discontinuous speciation
 - b) So many evolutionary biologists at this time didn't bother with either Lamarck or Darwin
- V) The Modern Synthesis: Development Vanishes
- A) Synthesis of Weismann's ultra-Darwinism and Mendelian genetics
 - B) Theory of heredity in the Modern Synthesis
 - 1) Gene as "hereditary unit of biological information" determining development
 - 2) Alleles: different versions of each gene (inherited from each parent)
 - 3) Mendel's laws
 - a) Two alleles separate in formation of gametes in same condition as entering parent body
 - b) Alleles belonging to different pairs segregate independently

- 4) Dominants and recessives: hybrids do not show intermediates
- 5) Genes were located on the chromosomes, like beads on a string
- C) Mendelian genetics based on analysis of (visible) differences
 - 1) At first, genetics seemed to reinforce non-Darwinian discontinuous evolution
 - 2) But later it was shown genes can account for continuous variation
 - a) When characters are controlled by many genes
 - b) Each having a small effect
- D) Mendelian genetics has no explanation for development
 - 1) Genes located only in nucleus: ignored role of cytoplasm
 - 2) Morgan and *Drosophila*
 - 3) Johansen
 - a) Phenotype / genotype distinction
 - i) All individuals in a pure line have same genotype
 - ii) Phenotype depends on interaction of genotype and environment
 - b) Genes
 - i) Pass on "potential for characters"
 - ii) Unit of information about potential phenotype
 - iii) Unaffected by use of that information in development
 - iv) Very stable, though open to occasional mutation
- E) ENS according to the Modern Synthesis
 - 1) Heredity =
 - a) Transmission of germ-line genes
 - b) Located on chromosomes (nuclear focus)
 - c) Discrete unit of information about character
 - 2) Variation =
 - a) Consequence of random combinations of alleles through sexual reproduction
 - b) Each allele has small effect on characters
 - c) Mutations in genes are result of accidents
 - d) Genes are not affected by their use in development
 - 3) Selection
 - a) Occurs at phenotype level
 - b) Alleles accumulate in population through phenotypic selection
- F) Complaints by embryologists and plant biologists
 - 1) Nuclear genetic material is not the only important thing
 - 2) Cytoplasm is important for both heredity and development
- VI) Molecular Neo-Darwinism: The Supremacy of DNA
 - A) Triumph:
 - 1) DNA steps to the fore as mechanism of heredity and development
 - a) Hereditary gene = nucleotide string
 - b) Developmental gene = protein synthesis (via mRNA)
 - 2) "Central dogma" = unidirectional information flow
 - B) Needed nuances
 - 1) Non-nuclear inheritance in cytoplasmic organelles (mitochondria / chloroplasts)
 - 2) Breakdown of one-to-one allele / protein relation
 - a) Many allelic variations can produce same protein
 - b) And many differences in amino acid strings in proteins persist in population
 - c) Thus many differences in proteins and alleles are "selectively equivalent"
 - 3) "Junk DNA" or non-coding DNA
 - a) Some non-coding DNA is regulatory (controls gene expression) but not all!
 - b) Hereditary information becomes genetic program, not just genes as discrete units
 - C) ENS according to the Molecular Revolution

- 1) Gene = unit of heredity = DNA string
- 2) Inheritance = DNA replication
- 3) Cytoplasmic organelles have own DNA
- 4) Mutations = changes in DNA sequence via
 - a) Mistakes in DNA replication
 - b) Chemical / physical damage to DNA w/ improper repair
 - c) Movement of DNA itself
 - d) Mutagens do not increase adaptive variations
 - e) So induced variation is blind / random

VII) Selfish Genes and Selfish Replicators: unit of selection controversy

A) Altruism

- 1) Explanation through group selection
- 2) Attack on group selection by mathematical arguments
 - a) At first successful
 - b) But then others claim math proof of possibility of group selection
- 3) Kin selection: Hamilton

B) Dawkins and the "gene's eye view" or "selfish gene"

- 1) Genes are units of inheritance *and* selection (bcs of stability and permanence)
- 2) Replicators vs vehicles
- 3) Replicators can unify biology, bcs they are units of
 - a) Heredity
 - b) Variation
 - c) Selection
 - d) Evolution
 - e) Development

- 4) They can even unify biology and sociology / psychology if we accept "memes"

C) Attacks on Dawkins

- 1) Defenders of other targets of selection (individuals / kin / groups) still are gene-centered
- 2) In that they agree that hereditary genes are in control of development
- 3) Gould: gene-centered evolution is just "bookkeeping"
 - a) Individuals / groups / species are targets of selection (bcs they survive / reproduce)
 - b) NS is not the only agent of evolution
 - i) Historical events (climate changes)
 - ii) Accidents affecting genetic variation
 - iii) Evolution is constrained by development (if organism isn't viable, it can't reproduce)
 - iv) Side effects or "spandels" are possible (adaptationist debate)
- 4) Gould and Dawkins agree
 - a) Gene-centered heredity relevant to non-human organisms
 - b) No such thing as IAC

VIII) The Transformations of Darwinism

IX) Dialogue

A) A-Life discussion: "limited heredity systems"

B) Dawkins

- 1) Unit of heredity / selection:
 - a) Dawkins: replicators must have high replication fidelity, which individuals don't have
 - b) Response: no one said individual was unit of heredity and selection: it's the trait
- 2) Relation of inheritance and development
 - a) Dawkins: assumes unidirectional influence from replicators to vehicles, but not inverse
 - b) Response: development does impinge on heredity
- 3) Unit of heredity
 - a) Dawkins: the gene is only biological hereditary unit

- b) Response: there is epigenetic inheritance
- C) Molecular revolution
 - 1) It's progress to be able to speak at the molecular level
 - 2) But there are physiological and behavioral levels of heredity as well (not just cytoplasmic)
- D) Ideology
 - 1) Surely the Modern Synthesis isn't ideological like Lysenko?
 - 2) Of course, but "ideology" can be "assumptions" from "socio-political general worldview"

Chapter 2: From Genes to Characters

- I) Intro
 - A) Relation of genes and development is very important today
 - B) JL forecast:
 - 1) Epigenetic inheritance is important too
 - 2) Question of information in different inheritance systems:
 - a) What kind of info is transmitted
 - b) Mechanism of info transmittal
 - c) Extent and fidelity of info transmittal
 - d) Effects of transmitted info
- II) From DNA to Proteins
 - A) Heredity: DNA replication is a property of the cellular system, not of DNA alone
 - B) Function: DNA codes for proteins
 - 1) Transcription = splitting of double helix and production of primary RNA transcript
 - 2) Splicing = introns and exons
 - 3) Transport = exit from nucleus and entry into cytoplasm
 - 4) Ribosome = site of protein production
 - 5) Translation = formation of a polypeptide chain (amino acids)
 - C) Noncoding DNA
 - 1) Regulation of gene activity
 - 2) But the cell is involved in this regulation process, which means environment contributes too
- III) Digression: What is Information?
 - A) Two types of DNA info
 - 1) Coding for proteins
 - 2) Attachment sites for regulatory molecules
 - B) JL definition of information:
 - 1) Correlation of changes in receiver's functional state with form and organization of source
 - 2) This is "interpretation"
 - 3) Source remains unchanged by such interpretation by receiver
 - C) DNA information
 - 1) Characteristics:
 - a) Linear and modular
 - b) Replication is insensitive to content (vs. learning)
 - 2) Consequences:
 - a) A lot of raw material for NS can be generated
 - b) But "nonsensical" DNA can also be generated and transmitted
- IV) Genes, Characters, and Genetic Astrology
 - A) Monogenic diseases (one-to-one gene / trait determinism)
 - 1) Are quite rare
 - 2) But are a popular model for *all* gene action in development (= "genetic astrology")
 - B) Correlation is not causation in complex systems
- V) The Tangled Web of Interactions

- A) Example of APOE gene and coronary artery disease
 - B) Conclusions
 - 1) Cannot just add average effects of genes in a population and predict individual profiles
 - 2) Defeat of genetic determinism
 - a) Developmental plasticity = many phenotypes from "same" genotype
 - b) Canalization = same phenotype from "different" genotype
 - C) Waddington and the epigenetic landscape
 - 1) Developmental canalization
 - 2) Multiple genetic effects
 - D) Knockout gene experiments often produce no phenotypic differences
 - 1) Reasons:
 - a) Duplicate genes
 - b) Functional replacements
 - c) Dynamic regulatory networks can adapt
 - 2) Results
 - a) Demonstrates structural and functional redundancy in genome
 - b) Developmental canalization
 - c) Selectively neutral alleles
 - d) It's the "evolved network of interactions" that accounts for canalization
 - i) NB: genetic regulatory network (includes epigenetic factors) is unit of evolution
 - ii) Not the hereditary gene as DNA sequence
- VI) Genes in Pieces
- A) Splicing:
 - 1) Excision of introns and splicing of exons
 - 2) The limits of introns and exons are not fixed
 - 3) The decisions are made by the network
 - a) Developmental (cytoplasmic & intrasomatic) & environmental (extrasomatic) conditions
 - b) Regulatory genes
 - B) Results: can no longer identify hereditary gene as locus of developmental control
- VII) Changing DNA in Development
- A) This is "natural genetic engineering"
 - B) Discussion of Weismann and chromatin diminution
 - C) This discussion has been only about development of somatic cells
 - 1) Though it's fascinating that development can change DNA ("recipe")
 - 2) What about evolution?
 - a) Are there developmentally induced heritable changes to DNA in the germ line?
 - b) IOW, are there directed changes in variation for NS? This would be Lamarck's revenge!
- VIII) Dialogue
- A) Language and DNA have same structure
 - 1) Modular
 - 2) Content-indifferent replication
 - 3) Encoded information
 - 4) Allows unlimited heritable variation
 - B) Population averages mask individual variation, but organisms live as individuals!
 - C) Problems with prediction:
 - 1) Hyper-astronomical number of permutations
 - a) Genetic interaction
 - b) Gene – environment interaction
 - 2) Cannot define "environment"
 - 3) Social environments are "partially constructed by individuals"
 - D) Must shift focus to dynamic / flexible / fuzzy networks

- E) Focus on DNA alone is politically loaded
- F) DNA focus allows fight against monogenic diseases
- G) Effects on our thinking about evolution from focus on relation of genes / development
 - 1) Channels and limits our thought about evolutionary mechanisms so that we focus on "selection for the developmental, physiological, and behavioral stability and flexibility of genetic and cellular networks."
 - a) Controversy over genetic determinism / selectionism
 - i) Genetic determinism = thesis about development
 - ii) Genetic selectionism = thesis about evolution
 - b) Plasticity and canalization = network properties, not gene properties
 - 2) DNA can change in response to environmental cues
 - a) Systems of nonrandom DNA change
 - b) Formation of heritable DNA variation
 - c) Transmission of such variation

Chapter 3: Genetic Variation: Blind, Directed, Interpretive?

- I) Intro: John Cairns reintroduces question of directed (i.e., non-random) mutation
 - A) In retrospect, Cairns was wrong with his example, but opened door to research on mutation
 - B) JL will now claim that not all mutation is random, as previously believed
 - 1) Variation through sex
 - 2) Variation through mutation
 - 3) [NB: Lynn Margulis proposes symbiogenesis as major source of variation for evolution; mutations only modulate these major changes.]
- II) Genetic variation through sex and sexual reproduction
 - A) Sources
 - 1) Mixing genes from 2 nonidentical parents
 - 2) Meiosis: assignment of which chromosomes to gamete is random and independent
 - 3) Recombination of genes during "cross-over"
 - B) What is the evolutionary advantage of sexual reproduction?
 - 1) Prevent accumulation of bad mutations
 - 2) Faster evolution in changing and selective / competitive environments
 - C) Spectrum of modes of sexual and asexual reproduction: subject to evolution via NS
 - D) Sex as genetic exchange is not always tied to reproduction (e.g., bacteria)
- III) Variation through mutation
 - A) Tradeoff between reliability and flexibility of DNA
 - B) NS for "DNA-caretaker genes" for proof-reading and editing / correcting of DNA replication
- IV) Randomness questioned
 - A) Traditionally assumed that mutations are non-adaptive "mistakes," most of which are harmful
 - B) Now, we have question of "directed mutation"
 - 1) We have seen developmental changes in DNA
 - 2) McClintock proposed genome as "organ of cell" that "responds ... by restructuring genome"
 - 3) This is "stress-induced mutagenesis": affecting the maintaining / repairing system for DNA
 - C) Thought experiment: three types of response to change
 - 1) Conservative:
 - a) Heuristic strategy: Always and only try the traditional response
 - b) Biological method: use physiological response and wait for lucky mutation at natural rate
 - c) Prospects for success: works in slight changes, but in radical changes not very helpful
 - 2) Exploratory:
 - a) Heuristic strategy: try whatever is imaginable
 - b) Biological method: increase rate of random mutation

- c) Prospects for success: depends on population size
- 3) Interpretive:
 - a) Heuristic strategy: stick to tradition when you can; cautiously interpret when you must
 - b) Biological method: non-random, but not-precisely-directed mutation
 - c) Prospects for success: seems to be good, as this strategy is selected for in many cases [?]
- V) Acquired, Required, Interpretive Mutations
 - A) Four types of non-random mutation
 - 1) Induced global mutation
 - 2) Local hypermutation
 - 3) Induced local mutation
 - 4) Induced regional increased mutation
 - B) These fit into a spectrum between "blind" and "developmentally regulated" genetic change
- VI) Evolved genetic guesses
 - A) Can no longer clearly distinguish instruction (development) and selection (evolution)
 - 1) E.g., immune system changes are developmental and selective (Edelman)
 - 2) Some evolutionary change (e.g., bacterial) are instructive
 - 3) So Lamarck is back in the picture
 - B) Development, heredity, and evolution are intertwined
- VII) Dialogue
 - A) Stress-induced increase in recombination in specific regions of chromosomes
 - B) Central dogma outlawing of backtranslation (changes from proteins to DNA)
 - 1) Backtranslation is not necessary for many types of IAC, which do not involve amino acids
 - 2) Most cell responses to changed conditions target regulatory and not coding sequences
 - 3) Most altered proteins due to changes in splicing / translation, not in coding sequences
 - C) So, most genetic change affecting gene expression
 - 1) Alter number of copies of genes
 - 2) Control sequences
 - 3) Gene location on chromosome
 - D) Why not more directed mutation? Because educated guesses are better than pure instruction.
 - E) How are induced adaptive changes possible, as they require phenotypic feedback?
 - 1) In bacteria, this is easy to imagine
 - 2) In complex multicellulars, it's very unlikely to have mechanisms for directed genetic change
 - a) Bcs. of complex interactions, such genetic change = "random" phenotypic effect
 - b) But not all inheritance is genetic!
 - c) So we can have IAC in other inheritance systems
 - i) Epigenetic
 - ii) Behavioral
 - iii) Symbolic